

1 **The In Vitro Activity of Omadacycline and Comparators Against Anaerobic Bacteria**

2

3 **Laure Stapert, Cindy Wolfe, Dean Shinabarger, Andrea Marra<sup>1</sup>, Chris Pillar**

4 Micromyx, Inc. 4717 Campus Drive, Kalamazoo, MI 49008

5 <sup>1</sup>amarra@micromyx.com

6

7 **ABSTRACT**

8 Omadacycline (OMC), a broad-spectrum aminomethylcycline, has shown clinical efficacy in  
9 anaerobic acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections (ABSSSI) and in animal models of  
10 intra-abdominal anaerobic infections. Here, the in vitro activity of OMC against clinically-  
11 relevant anaerobes was similar to tigecycline, with MIC<sub>90</sub> values of 1 to 8 µg/mL against  
12 *Bacteroides* spp , 0.5 µg/mL against *Clostridium difficile*, *Prevotella* spp., and *Porphyromonas*  
13 *asaccharolytica*, 1 µg/mL against *Peptostreptococcus* spp., and 16 µg/mL against *C. perfringens*.

14 **CONTENTS OF NOTE**

15 In nature, anaerobic bacteria are ubiquitous organisms of which a diverse array exists as part of  
16 the normal human microflora associated with mucous membranes<sup>1,2</sup>. A variety of anaerobic  
17 infections can occur, typically due to disruption of this commensal relationship with the host, and  
18 involve a comparatively less diverse group of organisms upon breach of a mucous membrane  
19 barrier at or near the site of infection. These infections are frequently polymicrobial and usually  
20 result in abscess formation<sup>1,2</sup>. Anaerobic infections are most often treated with β-lactams plus β-  
21 lactamase inhibitors, metronidazole (MTZ), clindamycin (CLI), carbapenems, tigecycline, and  
22 cefoxitin<sup>1,2</sup>. A novel aminomethylcycline, OMC, has activity against the two most common  
23 tetracycline resistance mechanisms and is currently undergoing clinical evaluation by Paratek  
24 Pharmaceuticals (Boston, MA) for the treatment of ABSSSI and community-acquired bacterial  
25 pneumonia<sup>3</sup>. In ABSSSI trials and in animal models of anaerobic infection (e.g. intra-abdominal  
26 infection), OMC has demonstrated efficacy against anaerobic infections<sup>4,5</sup>.

27 The activity of OMC and comparators was evaluated against the following anaerobic organisms  
28 from the Micromyx repository (N = 186; Tables 1 – 2): *Bacteroides fragilis*, *Bacteroides*  
29 *thetaiotaomicron*, *Bacteroides vulgatus*, *Bacteroides ovatus*, *Clostridium difficile*, *Clostridium*

30 *perfringens*, *Peptostreptococcus* spp., *Porphyromonas asaccharolytica*, and *Prevotella* spp. The  
31 test organisms consisted of randomly-selected, non-consecutive, non-duplicate human clinical  
32 isolates, collected from 2006 to 2016 within the United States; most of the isolates were from  
33 abscesses, wounds, or infections of gall bladder, blood, or abdomen. *C. difficile* isolates were  
34 isolated from stool samples. Nine of the evaluated *P. asaccharolytica* isolates were veterinary in  
35 origin, collected in 2007 in Japan. OMC powder was provided by Paratek and was stored at -  
36 80°C. Comparator drugs included tigecycline (TGC), meropenem (MEM), moxifloxacin  
37 (MXF), CLI, MTZ, and piperacillin-tazobactam (P/T). Stock solutions of these reference  
38 compounds were prepared on each day of the assay using solvents recommended by the Clinical  
39 Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI)<sup>6,7</sup>. Concentration ranges used during testing spanned  
40 relevant quality control ranges and breakpoints as established for each test compound against  
41 anaerobes<sup>6,7</sup>. Tazobactam was tested at a fixed concentration of 4 µg/mL in combination with  
42 piperacillin.

43 For *Bacteroides* spp. only, MIC determinations were made by broth microdilution; all other  
44 organisms were evaluated by agar dilution and all testing was performed in accordance with  
45 CLSI guideline M11-A8<sup>6</sup> and CLSI supplement M100-S26<sup>7</sup> using freshly-prepared *Brucella*  
46 broth and agar. Where noted, MIC values were interpreted as susceptible (S), intermediate (I), or  
47 resistant (R) in accordance with CLSI M100-S26<sup>7</sup>, with the exception of TGC, where FDA  
48 interpretive criteria were used<sup>8</sup>. Relevant quality control isolates from the American Type  
49 Culture Collection (ATCC; Manassas, VA; *B. fragilis* ATCC 25285, *B. thetaiotaomicron* ATCC  
50 29741, and *C. difficile* ATCC 700057) were included during testing. MIC values for QC isolates  
51 were within established quality control ranges for all drugs.

52 As shown in Table 1, OMC demonstrated potent activity relative to comparator agents against  
53 *Bacteroides* spp. including *B. fragilis*, *B. thetaiotaomicron*, *B. vulgatus*, and *B. ovatus*; MIC<sub>50/90</sub>  
54 values for OMC against these organisms were 0.5/4, 1/4, 0.12/1 and 0.5/8 µg/mL, respectively.  
55 OMC was also active against *Prevotella* spp. and *P. asaccharolytica* with MIC<sub>50/90</sub> values of  
56 0.5/2 and 0.25/0.5 µg/mL, respectively (Table 1).

57 Against the Gram-positive anaerobes *C. difficile* and *Peptostreptococcus* spp., OMC also  
58 demonstrated potent activity by MIC<sub>50/90</sub>, with values of 0.25/0.5 and 0.12/1 µg/mL, respectively  
59 (Table 2). However, against *C. perfringens* OMC was less active, with MIC<sub>50/90</sub> values of 4/16  
60 µg/mL (Table 2).

61 Overall, the evaluated isolates were found to be susceptible to P/T in this study and most were  
62 susceptible to MEM and TGC (with the exception of *C. perfringens* against TGC, 40.9% S)  
63 (Tables 1 – 2). As expected, MTZ also showed good activity, with >90% S across species, except  
64 for *B. fragilis* (81% S), *B. ovatus* (80% S) and *Peptostreptococcus* spp. (77.3% S) (Tables 1 – 2).  
65 As expected, CLI and MXF had fairly poor activity in this study, with susceptibilities in the  
66 range of 38.1 to 70% for the *Bacteroides* spp. and 0 to 86.4% for the *Clostridium* spp. (Tables 1 –  
67 2).

68 In conclusion, OMC had potent activity *in vitro* against Gram-negative and -positive anaerobes  
69 commonly isolated from human infections. The activity of OMC against anaerobes was similar  
70 to that reported previously<sup>3</sup> and also parallels that observed with TGC, an agent indicated for the  
71 treatment of anaerobes in skin and intra-abdominal infections<sup>8</sup>, both by MIC<sub>50/90</sub> and MIC  
72 distribution, with values identical or within 2-fold (Tables 1 – 2). The *in vitro* activity of OMC  
73 against anaerobic pathogens along with the *in vivo* efficacy against anaerobes in animal models

74 of anaerobic infection and in human skin infections highlight the potential of OMC for the  
75 treatment of human anaerobic infections.

## 76 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

77 The authors would like to acknowledge the Sponsor (Paratek Pharmaceuticals) for funding the  
78 study described herein. Findings from this study were presented at the 27<sup>th</sup> ECCMID held in  
79 Vienna, Austria from April 22-25, 2017.

## 80 REFERENCES

- 81 1. Brook I. 2016. Spectrum and treatment of anaerobic infections. *J Infect Chemother* 22:  
82 1-13.
- 83 2. Tzianobos AO, Kasper DL. 2015. Anaerobic Infections: General Concepts, chapter 241  
84 *In* Mandell GL, Bennett JE, and Dolin R (ed), Principles and Practice of Infectious  
85 Disease. Elsevier, Philadelphia, PA.
- 86 3. Villano, S, Steenbergen, J and Loh, E. 2016. Omadacycline: development of a novel  
87 aminomethylcycline antibiotic for treating drug-resistant bacterial infections. *Future*  
88 *Microbiol.* 11(11): 1421 – 1434.
- 89 4. O’Riordan, W, Green, S, Overcash, JS, Eckburg, P, Steenburgen, J, Das, A, Tzanis, E,  
90 Garrity-Ryan, L, Manley, A, Villano, S, and Loh, E. 2017. Efficacy of Oral and IV  
91 Omadacycline vs. Linezolid for Treating Adult Subjects with ABSSSI: Analysis by  
92 Infection Type and Pathogen in the Oasis Study. Poster presentation #P1252. ECCMID,  
93 Vienna, AUT.

- 94 5. Endermann R, Ladel, CH, Broetz-Oesterhelt H, Labischinski H. 2004. BAY 73-7388 is  
95 highly efficacious in animal models of intra-abdominal infections caused by a range of  
96 aerobic and anaerobic organisms, including VRE. Poster #P928. ECCMID, Prague,  
97 CZE.
- 98 6. CLSI. 2012. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Anaerobic  
99 Bacteria; Approved Standard—Eighth Edition. CLSI document M11-A8. CLSI, 940  
100 West Valley Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA.
- 101 7. CLSI. 2016. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-  
102 Sixth Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100-S26. CLSI, 940 West Valley  
103 Road, Suite 1400, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087-1898 USA.
- 104 8. Tygacil® prescribing information. Available from  
105 <http://labeling.pfizer.com/ShowLabeling.aspx?id=491>. Accessed on 03-07-2017.  
106  
107

108 Table 1. Summary of the in vitro activity ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) of OMC and comparators against anaerobes

Organism (no. of isolates)	Drug	MIC range	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	%S	%I	%R
Gram-negative anaerobes ( <i>Bacteroides</i> spp.)							
<i>B. fragilis</i> (21)	OMC	0.25 - 16	0.5	4	-	-	-
	TGC	0.5 - 8	0.5	2	95.2	4.8	0.0
	MEM	0.12 - 4	0.25	1	100	0.0	0.0
	MXF	0.12 - 16	1	8	71.4	14.3	14.3
	CLI	0.06 - >32	1	>32	71.4	0.0	28.6
	MTZ	0.25 - >32	1	>32	81.0	0.0	19.0
	P/T	0.12 - 8	1	4	100	0.0	0.0
<i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> (21)	OMC	0.12 - 16	1	4	-	-	-
	TGC	0.25 - 16	1	8	85.7	9.5	4.8
	MEM	0.12 - 8	0.25	2	95.2	4.8	0.0
	MXF	1 - >16	2	>16	52.3	4.8	42.9
	CLI	0.25 - >32	4	>32	38.1	19.0	42.9
	MTZ	0.25 - >32	1	2	90.5	0.0	9.5
	P/T	1 - 16	8	16	100	0.0	0.0
<i>B. vulgatus</i> (21)	OMC	0.06 - 2	0.12	1	-	-	-
	TGC	0.12 - 2	0.25	1	100	0.0	0.0
	MEM	0.12 - 2	0.25	0.5	100	0.0	0.0
	MXF	0.25 - >16	1	16	61.9	4.8	33.3
	CLI	$\leq 0.03$ - >32	1	>32	57.1	0.0	42.9
	MTZ	0.12 - >32	1	2	95.2	0.0	4.8
	P/T	0.25 - >16	4	8	100	0.0	0.0
<i>B. ovatus</i> (15)	OMC	0.06 - >16	0.5	8	-	-	-
	TGC	0.03 - >16	0.5	8	86.6	6.7	6.7
	MEM	$\leq 0.015$ - 4	0.25	2	100	0.0	0.0
	MXF	1 - >16	2	>16	53.3	6.7	40.0
	CLI	$\leq 0.03$ - >32	8	>32	40.0	6.7	53.3
	MTZ	0.12 - >32	1	>32	80.0	0.0	20.0
	P/T	$\leq 0.015$ - 16	4	8	100	0.0	0.0
Gram-negative bacilli (non- <i>Bacteroides</i> spp.)							
<i>Prevotella</i> spp. (22)	OMC	0.12 - 8	0.5	2	-	-	-
	TGC	0.06 - 16	1	4	95.5	0.0	4.5
	MEM	0.03 - 1	0.12	0.5	100	0.0	0.0
	MXF	0.5 - >16	1	>16	63.6	22.8	13.6
	CLI	0.06 - >32	2	>32	51.0	4.5	44.5
	MTZ	0.25 - >32	1	8	95.5	0.0	4.5
	P/T	$\leq 0.06$ - 32	$\leq 0.06$	4	100	0.0	0.0

Organism	Drug	MIC range	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	%S	%I	%R
<i>P. asaccharolytica</i> (21)	OMC	0.06 - 2	0.25	0.5	-	-	-
	TGC	0.03 - 1	0.25	0.5	100	0.0	0.0
	MEM	≤0.015 - 0.25	0.03	0.12	100	0.0	0.0
	MXF	0.12 - >16	0.25	16	85.7	0.0	14.3
	CLI	≤0.03 - >32	0.5	>32	80.9	4.8	14.3
	MTZ	0.06 - >32	0.5	2	90.5	0.0	9.5
	P/T	≤0.06 - 0.5	≤0.06	0.25	100	0.0	0.0

109

110 MIC<sub>50</sub> = MIC value at which inhibition of at least 50% of evaluated isolates was observed; MIC<sub>90</sub> = MIC value at which inhibition of at least  
 111 90% of evaluated isolates was observed; P/T = piperacillin-tazobactam (tazobactam tested at a constant concentration of 4 µg/mL; piperacillin  
 112 MIC shown in tables); %S = percent susceptible; %I = percent intermediate; %R = percent resistant

113

114 MIC values interpreted based on CLSI breakpoints (6) excluding tigecycline where MIC values were interpreted based on FDA prescribing

115 information for Tygacil® (7)



116 Table 2. Activity ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) of OMC and comparators against Gram-positive anaerobes

Organism (no. of isolates)	Drug	MIC range	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	%S	%I	%R
<i>C. difficile</i> (21)	OMC	0.25 - 8	0.25	0.5	-	-	-
	TGC	0.25 - 4	0.25	0.25	100	0.0	0.0
	MEM	0.5 - 4	2	2	100	0.0	0.0
	MXF	1 - >16	2	>16	61.9	0.0	38.1
	CLI	4 - >32	8	>32	0.0	38.1	61.9
	MTZ	0.25 - 8	0.5	1	100	0.0	0.0
	P/T	4 - 16	8	16	100	0.0	0.0
<i>C. perfringens</i> (22)	OMC	0.12 - 16	4	16	-	-	-
	TGC	0.25 - >16	8	>16	40.9	9.1	50.0
	MEM	$\leq 0.015$ - 8	0.015	1	95.5	4.5	0.0
	MXF	0.5 - >16	0.5	4	86.4	4.5	9.1
	CLI	0.06 - >32	2	>32	72.8	4.5	22.7
	MTZ	0.5 - >32	1	4	90.9	0.0	9.1
	P/T	$\leq 0.06$ - 32	0.5	16	100	0.0	0.0
<i>Peptostreptococcus spp.</i> <sup>1</sup> (22)	OMC	0.06 - 2	0.12	1	-	-	-
	TGC	0.06 - 4	0.12	2	100	0.0	0.0
	MEM	$\leq 0.015$ - 16	0.25	0.5	95.5	0.0	4.5
	MXF	0.25 - >16	0.5	8	77.2	0.0	22.8
	CLI	0.06 - >32	0.5	>32	63.7	4.5	31.8
	MTZ	0.12 - >32	0.5	>32	77.3	0.0	22.7
	P/T	$\leq 0.06$ - 32	0.25	2	100	0.0	0.0

117

118 MIC<sub>50</sub> = MIC value at which inhibition of at least 50% of evaluated isolates was observed; MIC<sub>90</sub> = MIC value at which inhibition of at least  
 119 90% of evaluated isolates was observed; P/T = piperacillin-tazobactam (tazobactam tested at a constant concentration of 4  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ; piperacillin  
 120 MIC shown in tables); %S = percent susceptible; %I = percent intermediate; %R = percent resistant

121

122 MIC values interpreted based on CLSI breakpoints (6) excluding tigecycline where MIC values were interpreted based on FDA prescribing

123 information for Tygacil® (7)

124 *Peptostreptococcus spp.* include 11 *P. micros* and 11 *P. anaerobius*

125

126